

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In rethe Application of

Nadia GARDEL et al.

Group Art Unit: 1609

Application No.: 10/849,825

Examiner:

S. HOFFER

Filed: May 21, 2004

Docket No.: 119740

For:

SKIN MAKEUP COMPOSITION HAVING OPTIMIZED APPLICATION

QUALITIES

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.132

I, Pascal ARNAUD, a citizen of France, hereby declare and state:

- I have a degree in chemistry which was conferred upon me by Ecole Nationale 1. Supérieure de Chimie de Paris (ENSCP) in Paris in 1988.
- I have been employed by L'Oreal since 1989 and I have had a total of 6.5 years of work and research experience in skin makeup compositions.
- I am a member of Société Française de Chimie (SFC) et Société Française de 3. Cosmétologie (SFC).
- Those under my direct supervision and control have conducted the following 4. tests:

A comparative trial of cosmetic compositions was conducted under my supervision and control. An example of a cosmetic composition of the presently claimed invention, including PMMA, was compared with a cosmetic composition that was otherwise identical except that nylon powder was substituted for the PMMA. The compositions were prepared in the substantially the same manner as Example 1 as shown in the present specification at paragraphs [0117] to [0139].

The cosmetic compositions had the components shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1

	Comparative Example	Example of the Invention
Foundation Composition	20.6	20.6
NAD in isodedocane		1.8
Dimethicone copolyol (ABIL EM97)	1.8	0.6
Succinate isostearyle of diglyceryle	0.6	
Preservatives	0.40	0.40
Cyclopentasiloxane (D5)	9	9
Yellow iron oxide coated PDMS	1.45	1.45
	0.67	0.67
Red iron oxide	0.25	0.25
Black iron oxide	7.63	7.63
Titanium dioxide		
Nylon Powder	8	8
PMMA		
Perfume	0.6	0.6
Water	48.3	48.3
	0.7	0.7
Magnesium sulfate	100% mass	100% mass

Six panelists compared these two foundations by applying at the rate of 0.10 grams per half face. The results obtained are described in Table 2 below.

Table 2

Panelists	Comparative Example	Example of the Invention
No. 1	Application: slightly drier Finish: more sticky, more "rough"	Application: slightly more slippery Finish: softer, more pleasant
No. 2	Application: slowing faster Finish: more sticky	Finish: softer Application: drier
No. 3	Application: more slippery	Finish: softer, more "smoothly"
No. 4	Application: slows and dry faster Finish: mark over the imperfections and	
No. 5	"rough" Application: slightly less slippery	Application: slightly more slippery
No. 6	Application: slowing faster Finish: more sticky, more powdery	Finish: softer

Application No. 10/849,825

Five of the six panelists felt that the foundation prepared in accordance with the presently claimed invention provided improved application properties in comparison with the comparative foundation.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Datas	01/24/2008	Pascal ARNAUD
Date.	V1/24/2000	
		1 married
-		1 mans
		Z Marie .